|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Rubric for Grading Discussion Board Posts** | | | | |
|  | **Levels of Achievement** | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Exemplary** | **Proficient** | **Developing** | **Unacceptable** |
| **Overall Quality and Substance of Post**  **Up to 15 Points** | The quality and substance of the post is outstanding. Additional sources (besides the textbook) are cited and used to support insights. Other students will benefit from the content presented. | The quality and substance of the post is good. No other sources (besides the textbook) are used to support insights. This is considered an average level post that meets basic requirements. | The quality and substance of the post is too generalized and reads like definitions of key terms and concepts. Insights are needed and the content requires more development. | The quality and substance of the post is either poor or there is no submission. |
| **Organization and Identification of key terms and concepts**  **Up to 10 Points** | All key terms/concepts are clearly identified and directly relate to the original post question and to the associated chapter. Excellent organization and topic flow. | Some key terms/concepts are identified and closely relate to the original post question and to the associated chapter. Good organization and topic flow. | One key term or concept is identified and somewhat relates to the original post question and to the associated chapter. Organization and topic flow need improvement. | The post includes content that is overgeneralized and does not directly relate to the key terms/concepts presented in the associated chapter. Organization and topic flow need major improvement. |

**Total: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/25**